



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,670	10/19/2005	Harri Kiljander	4208-4252	6528
27123 7590 03/21/2008 MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				
EXAMINER BELOUSOV, ANDREY				
ART UNIT 2174		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 03/21/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com
Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com
jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/534,670

Applicant(s)

KILJANDER, HARRI

Examiner

ANDREY BELOUSOV

Art Unit

2174

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15, 17-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in responsive to the amendment filing on December 5, 2007.

Claims 1-15, and 17-28 are pending and have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-10, 13-15, 17, 21-23, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Next (NeXT Step 3.3 Copyright (c) 1995 by NeXT Computer, Inc.)

Claim 1, 13, 14, 15, 17, 28: Next discloses a device comprising a user interface and a processor configured to:

- a. receive a request for access to a menu from a user (pg. 4: submenus);
- b. compile a list of menu options (pg. 5: it is inherent that docked application icons are compiled to a list);
- c. determine whether an application associated with a menu option is active or inactive (i.e. currently starting up / running or not; pg. 5) and associate a corresponding status indicator with the menu option (e.g. system variable keeping track of status indication as evidenced by the displayed indication: pg. 5); and

- d. display the list of menu options (pg. 5, see Figure: docked icons), where the presentation of a particular menu option (pg. 5, see Figure: docked icon, not running) includes a non-textual status indication (pg. 5: "three dots") of the associated status indicator.

Claim 2, 21: Next discloses a device according to claim 1, wherein a plurality of menu options with their corresponding non-textual status indications are presented simultaneously (pg. 5, see Figure.)

Claim 3, 22: Next discloses a device according to claim 1, wherein the display further comprises a focus region (pg.5: "highlighted in white") and the presentation of the menu option corresponding to the position of the focus region includes the non-textual indication (lack of three dots indicated an active, or running status; pg. 5) of associated status indicator (pg. 5, see Figure: starting up icon.)

Claim 4, 23: Next discloses a device according to claim 1, wherein the presentation of the menu option includes an icon displayed in the list of menu options (pg. 5.)

Claim 6, 18, 25: Next discloses a device according to claim 4, wherein the application status is indicated by the color properties of the icon (pg. 5: white highlight indicated a 'starting up' status.)

Claim 7, 19, 26: Next discloses a device according to claim 3, configured to produce an alert (pg. 5: white highlight) where a menu option corresponding to the position of the focus region is associated with an active status indicator (pg. 5: see Figure.)

Claim 8, 20, 27: Next discloses a device according to claim 7, wherein an alert is produced using one or more of the following: animation of an icon, color (pg. 5: white highlight), sound or vibration (pg. 5: see Figure.)

Claim 9: Next discloses a device according to claim 1, wherein the user interface comprises a display and a keypad (pg. 2.)

Claim 10: Next discloses a device according to claim 1, further configured to allow multitasking of applications (pg. 2.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Next in view of Gillespie et al., (2002/0191029.)

Claim 5, 24: Next discloses a device according to claim 4. However, Next does not explicitly disclose wherein the application status is indicated by the animation of the icon. However, Gillespie teaches a device with a user interface utilizing a visual convention of animating activated icons (par. 0060.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Gillespie to the disclosure of Next. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Next in view of Shields et al., (5,910,802.)

Claim 11, 12: Next discloses a device according to claim 1. However, Next does not explicitly disclose that such a device is a handheld telecommunications device. Shields discloses a scaled down version of an operating system, Windows CE, which is run on a handheld telecommunications device (1:10-15; 2:43-50.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Next to a handheld telecommunications device of Shields. Such a combination would have been obvious because the design incentives or market forces

Art Unit: 2174

provided a reason to make an adaptation, and the invention resulted from application of the prior knowledge, of Next, in a predictable manner to a new platform (i.e. handheld) as disclosed in Shields.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2174

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Belousov whose telephone number is (571) 270-1695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (alternate Fri off) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AB
February 26, 2008

/David A Wiley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174

